

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Ş	ծ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	10/664,367	09/17/2003	Alison Jane Foster	T3090(C)	4555		
	201 7590 05/05/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE,				WARD,	WARD, PAUL V		
	BLDG C2 SO	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100			1623	<u>.</u>		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No	Applicant(a)			
				Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/664,3		FOSTER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit			
	The MAIL ING DATE of the	PAUL V.		1623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN misions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev munication. 30) days, a reply within the stated that the state of th	ent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status			•				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the r	nerits is		
	closed in accordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 13-21 is/are pending in the	e application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from co	onsideration.				
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 13-21 is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election i	requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	• ,	•	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority						
	2. Certified copies of the priority	•	• •	<u> </u>			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	· ·		ed in this National S	tage		
* 0	application from the Internati	•		nd.			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Diphamian Comme	(DTO 442)			
	ce of References Cited (P10-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-	152)		
S Patent and T	rademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II in the reply filed on March 16, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Groups I and III-V are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant reserved the right to file a divisional application to the non-elected subject matter.

An action on the merits of Group II (claims 13-21) is contained herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Podesva et al. (U.S. Patent 3,821,221).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,367

Art Unit: 1623

Applicant discloses a compound having a general formula IV:

[IV]

wherein all the variables are as defined in the claim.

Podesva teaches tetrahydropyrimidine-2-one compounds, which share the same formulaic compounds. (See formula III, col. 2, lines 5-20). The compounds in the said patent has the same structure, which includes X as H, -OH or halogen, and Y as an alkoxy group, and falls within the range of Applicant's compounds. (See col. 3, and Examples 1-37). Since Podesva teaches the exact compounds, Applicant's claims are anticipated, and thus, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

2. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mita et al. (JP 08027120).

Mita teaches tetrahydropyrimidione compounds, which share the same formulaic compounds. (See formula I, col. 1, and Abstract). The compounds in the said patent has the same structure, which includes X as H, -OH or halogen, and Y as an alkoxy group, and falls within the range of Applicant's compounds. (See col. 5, and Table on

page 9, and pages 32 & 34). Since Mita teaches the exact compounds, Applicant's claims are anticipated, and thus, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

3. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wei et al. (Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology).

Wei teaches tetrahydropyrimidione compounds, which share the same formulaic compounds. (See Abstract, page 110). The compounds in the said patent has the same structure, which includes X as H, -OH or halogen, and Y as an alkoxy group, and falls within the range of Applicant's compounds. (See pages 110-112). Since Wei teaches the exact compounds, Applicant's claims are anticipated, and thus, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Podesva et al. (U.S. Patent 3,821,221).

Podesva teaches a generic group of tetrahydropyrimidione derivatives, which embraces Applicants' claimed compounds. (See formula III-V and definitions for X, Z and R). The claims differ from the reference by reciting specific species and a more limited genus than the reference. However, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select any of the species of the genus taught by the reference, including those instantly claimed, because the skilled chemist would have the reasonable expectation that any of the species of the genus would have similar properties, and thus, the same use as taught for the genus as a whole. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to select the claimed compounds from the genus in the reference since such compounds would have been suggested by the reference as a whole. A prior art disclosed genus of useful compounds is sufficient to render prima facie obvious a species falling within a genus. Thus, Applicant's claims are obvious, and therefore, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

5. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mita et al. (JP 08027120).

Mita teaches a generic group of tetrahydropyrimidione derivatives, which embraces Applicants' claimed compounds. (See Abstract, formula I and definitions for X, Y, Z and R). The claims differ from the reference by reciting specific species and a more limited genus than the reference. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select any of the species of the genus taught by the reference, including those instantly claimed, because the skilled chemist would have the reasonable expectation that any of the species of the genus would have similar properties, and thus, the same use as taught for the genus as a whole. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to select the claimed compounds from the genus in the reference since such compounds would have been suggested by the reference as a whole. A prior art disclosed genus of useful

compounds is sufficient to render prima facie obvious a species falling within a genus.

Thus, Applicant's claims are obvious, and therefore, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

6. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wei et al. (Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology).

Wei teaches a generic group of tetrahydropyrimidione derivatives, which embraces Applicants' claimed compounds. (See Abstract and definitions, pages 110-112). The claims differ from the reference by reciting specific species and a more limited genus than the reference. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select any of the species of the genus taught by the reference, including those instantly claimed, because the skilled chemist would have the reasonable expectation that any of the species of the genus would have similar properties, and thus, the same use as taught for the genus as a whole. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to select the claimed compounds from the genus in the reference since such compounds would have been suggested by the reference as a whole. A prior art disclosed genus of useful compounds is sufficient to render prima facie obvious a species falling within a genus. Thus, Applicant's claims are obvious, and therefore, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Conclusion

Claims 13-21 are pending. Claims 13-21 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL V. WARD whose telephone number is 571-272-2909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Raymond

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600